## Rec'd PCT/PTO 14 OCI 2005

	30	`	
REC'D	28	SEP	2004

From the		Ţ	••	•	_	•
	•					
INTERNATIONAL	SEARCHING	Δ	LIT	$\Box$	DI.	rv
	OLKI IOI III IG	•	$\cdot$		1111	. ,

10/553297 WIPO PCT

WIPO POT			
	MIPO	Pζ	Ť

_		
- 1	$\sim$	٠
	u	٠

see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002251

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

27.05.2004

04.06.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B60M1/18

Applicant

MULTICLIP COMPANY LIMITED

- This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:
  - ☑ Box No. I

Basis of the opinion

- ☑ Box No. II
- ☐ Box No. III
  - Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV
- Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V
- Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI
- Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII
  - Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

#### **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Bolder, G

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3636



### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002251

_							
	Во	x No	. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
		lan	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	Wit nec	h reg	gard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. ty	ype	of material:				
	[		a sequence listing				
	Ī	<b>_</b>	table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:						
	[		in written format				
	[	]	in computer readable form				
	c. time of filing/furnishing:						
	[		contained in the international application as filed.				
		J .	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	[		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		cop	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional ies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ropriate, were furnished.				
4.	. Additional comments:						

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002251

D. N. H. D. C.							
Box No. II Priority							
1.	. ☑ The following document has not been furnished:						
		$\boxtimes$	copy of the earlier ar	oplicatio	n whose prid	ority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).	
			translation of the ear	rlier app	lication who	se priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).	
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.						
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.						
3.	Add	litional o	bservations, if neces	sary:			
						•	
_	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or						
	ind	ustrial a	applicability; citation	ns and e	explanation	s supporting such statement	
1.	Stat	tement	•				
	Nov	elty (N)		Yes.	Claims	3,5-8,11-22	
		o.e, (. e,		No:	Claims	1,2,4,9,10	
	Inve	entive st	ep (IS)	Yes:		3,8,11,12,14,16-22	
				No:	Claims	1,2,4-7,9,10,13,15	
	Indu	ıstrial ar	oplicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-22	
		•	. , ,	No:	Claims		
2.	Cita	tions an	d explanations				

see separate sheet

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/002251

### Re Item V.

1 The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: GB 1 378 751 A (BRITISH INSULATED CALLENDER'S CABLES LIMITED) 27 December 1974 (1974-12-27)

### 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (page 1, lines 18-25):

A neutral section for use with an overhead railway conductor line wherein the axis of the neutral section is aligned with the axis of the conductors on either side and where the stiffness and dynamic mass of the neutral section closely match those of the conductors on either side.

3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2, 4-7, 9, 10, 13, 15

Dependent claims 2, 4-7, 9, 10, 13, 15 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).